

# Observation on a Strategic Infrastructure Development Application

## Observer's details

### 1. Observer's details (person making the observation)

If you are making the observation, write your full name and address.

If you are an agent completing the observation for someone else, write the observer's details:

(a) Observer's name

Cappagh Farmers Support Group

(b) Observer's postal address

Boolaglass, Askeaton, Co. Limerick

## Agent's details

### 2. Agent's details (if applicable)

If you are an agent and are acting for someone else **on this observation**, please **also** write your details below.

If you are not using an agent, please write "Not applicable" below.

(a) Agent's name

Click or tap here to enter text.

(b) Agent's postal address

Click or tap here to enter text.

## Postal address for letters

3. During the process to decide the application, we will post information and items to you or to your agent. For this **current application**, who should we write to? (Please tick ✓ one box only)

**You (the observer) at the postal address in Part 1**

☒

**The agent at the postal address in Part 2**

☐

## Details about the proposed development

4. Please provide details about the **current application** you wish to make an observation.

- (a) **An Bord Pleanála case number for the current application (if available)**  
(for example: 300000)

312146

- (b) **Name or description of proposed development**

Expansion at Aughinish Alumina

- (c) **Location of proposed development**

(for example: 1 Main Street, Baile Fearainn, Co Abhaile)

Aughinish Island , Askeaton, Co. limerick

## Observation details

### 5 Grounds


Please describe the grounds of your observation (planning reasons and arguments). You can type or write them in the space below. There is **no word** limit as the box expands to fit what you write.

You can also insert photographs or images in this box. (See part 6 – Supporting materials for more information).

#### **Increase in height of BRDA and increase quantity red hazardous Mud**

Our group totally objects to any Increase in height of 12m to a maximum height of 44m. Such an increase has further potential of an environmental disaster happening on top of the existing BRDA that are heading to, if not already passed 32 metres above sea level on what was permitted under Limerick County Council Ref 05/1836, An Bord Pleanála Ref PL 13.217976. To add a further 8.0 m<sup>3</sup> bauxite residue over the lifetime of the development would put huge pressure on the existing embankment walls that are only crushed rock storing this red mud hazardous waste.

You have BRDA 1 & 2, are we to presume it's both 1 & 2 are being increased by 12m On the 30<sup>th</sup> September 1974 planning reference number 8580 permission was granted by the then Minister James Tully to Alcan to carry out construction to build an Alumina Plant at Aughinish Island Askeaton. **Condition 38** enclosed stipulated *“that the Red Mud pond shall be constructed and maintained in a sound structural condition and it shall be effectively sealed to prevent leakage of its contents. The embankments are to be of adequate strength to resist mud pressure and storm condition in the estuary”*.



We believe from the original planning that the first stage of construction was approx. 170 Acres (67 hectares) in size which is part of now a 250 Acre (100 hectares) mud pond BRDA 1,

Our group has pointed this out to An Bord Pleanála before, which has been ignored but here goes again. To increase by 12 metres and where much of this 8.0 million m<sup>3</sup> will be on this 170 Acres unlined of BRDA 1 that is in breach of their planning condition since 1983 to this present day should not be allowed on this section.

Not alone that, but to continue to allow millions of tonnes of toxic red mud on the unlined section to further cause huge pressure on top of the BRDA, which in turn force more toxic

## 5 Grounds

red mud down to seep from the unlined section into the Shannon Estuary causing major pollution to the ecosystems etc.

The approx. 170 Acre unlined has the potential to undermine the embankments from water coming in and out underneath eroding sections of the embankments leaving to a huge environmental disaster. Not to mind, that the first rock blasting of 4.5h planning has been granted by LCCC with An Bord Pleanála upholding their decision to our appeal which was nothing more than reckless on your behalf, when just metres from the embankment walls of BRDA 1.

We see nothing whatsoever from Aughinish in this application of supplying certificates that can vouch for the stability of these structured embankment walls that will be able to take such pressure from adding crush rock to increasing capacity of another 8.0 m3 of Bauxite residue (RED MUD)

The higher this red mud waste is taken, it will be more prone than already is, to high winds blowing the toxic red mud, and the cancerous Salt Cake from BRDA on to our communities and wider a field also to other counties. Both Human & Animals will be exposed to this dangerous cocktail of chemicals.

Again, like many times before, no breakdown of what is contained in the red mud of dangerous heavy metals that the public will be exposed to, when it blows from BRDA which protect this company.

Mr Michael Archambo, PhD Candidate, Chemical Engineering, Michigan Technological University is quoted as saying *“Red mud is a dangerous waste material that needs to be dealt with. The pH of red mud waste dumps typically exceeds 13 and contains toxic elements such as arsenic, zinc, and lead”*

His research areas include mineral processing, ferrous metallurgy, and CO2 utilization. Not alone that, but the Environmental and Radiation Concerns of Red Mud, Wyatt Pontius

February 11, 2018 Submitted as coursework for PH241, Stanford University, Winter 2018 Red Mud states *“While the radiation from red mud may pale in comparison to that of a significant nuclear disaster like Chernobyl, the radioactivity levels cannot simply be ignored. While the average radioactivity of ocean water, for example, is 14 Bq/kg, red mud is more than two orders of magnitude more potent, including the more dangerous variety of radiation, gamma radiation. [7,8]*

### **Increase expansion of the Salt Cake Disposal Cell which is located within the BRDA**

Our group totally objects to the expansion of the existing capacity of the Salt Cake Disposal Cell which is located within the BRDA through a vertical extension of the existing perimeter wall and associated cell lining resulting in increase 2.25m crest height increase of the existing cell to c31.25m and a maximum overall height of c.35.50m with additional 22,500 m3 of Salt Cake.

One could be forgiven for thinking that such a name like Salt Cake is a very safe by product, when in fact it is very dangerous hazardous waste product. It contains oxalate, which can cause cancer. This cancerous material is left open in cells in the BRDA for it to blow in the air and leave the Plant out on to communities for the public to inhale in small particles.

Whether these cells are finally closed with red Mud is not clear. Also, the red hazardous waste and the Salt Cake can mix and cause a lethal cocktail, that will arrive on farmland

## 5 Grounds

both locally and nationally. It will be inhaled by members of the public, as it blows from the plant, leading to human health problems. This waste should never be transported from the plant and dumped on the open BRDA. It should be dealt with on site in a safe manner. To sum this up, An Bord Pleanála are quite happy to facilitate Aughinish again to add millions of this dangerous red mud containing arsenic, lead etc, that contain radioactive material, coupled with Salt Cake a cancer-causing waste to be stockpiled on the existing 50 million plus that already contain all these chemicals, for them to blow in the air. We have already pointed out to An Bord Pleanála in other submissions proof that this blows out on communities. Article from the Limerick Leader on the 7<sup>th</sup> Mar 2014

### **Aughinish properties ‘coated in red dust’**

Residents living close to the Rusal plant in Aughinish have voiced concerns over an incident in which some properties were coated in red dust during the severe storm of February 12.

Residents living close to the Rusal plant in Aughinish have voiced concerns over an incident in which some properties were coated in red dust during the severe storm of February 12.

The company subsequently carried out a clean-up operation in the area and offered to power hose any properties that were affected.

However, some residents are worried that the red dust may have contained dangerous materials. They are also concerned that something similar may occur again.

One resident told the Leader that his house was completely coated in the red dust, which is left over after alumina is extracted from bauxite rock during the manufacturing process.

“I couldn’t even see out my front window. The whole place ran red - trees, the road, everything was coated in dust,” he said.

Another local resident claimed that the dust came from a newly opened storage pond on the Rusal site. A number of years ago, Rusal was granted permission to expand the pond area and to raise the height of the dry-stacked red mud.

Pointing out that the mud is still 40 feet below the land surface in this new pond, the resident said he was concerned that similar incidents could recur as more red dust is added, and the height of the pond rises.

## 5 Grounds

“They said this was a once-off, but once-off is too much,” he said.

An Bord Pleanála granting planning for BRDA 2. even though, we had pointed out the dangers that more red mud been stored in open ponds would cause to the environment and human health which of course was ignored to facilitate Aughinish.

This article shows that the red mud was 40 feet below land surface when it blew on to properties covering them in red toxic mud. If such strong winds can whip this dangerous red mud 40 feet down, what do you think it’s going to do 30-40 metres above sea level.

It’s so hard to comprehend the negligence on behalf of An Bord Pleanála, Limerick County Council and the EPA when it comes to facilitating Aughinish at all costs.

These residents were concerned about what was in the red mud, but as we have pointed out Aughinish have always been protected by all, when it comes to the disclosure of the red mud contents. We have pointed out this out in our observation

Mr Michael Archambo, who calls it dangerous not to mention the radioactive contents

And here we have An Bord Pleanála, wanting to facilitate millions more of this dangerous waste, containing radioactive material next to the Shannon, without a care in the world as to the consequences it will have on the environment, human/animal health, ecosystems, SAC and the final clean-up cost of at least two billion plus not for Aughinish/Rusal but for the Irish Taxpayer.

Aughinish said in the article that this was a once off, which is untrue, this red dust blows regularly off site on to properties. They have to send out a contractor to hose houses down that has the red mud on them.

Our group has pointed out that the Red Mud blows as far away as Mayo and other Counties from the BRDA. See article below



# Where the water turns red



Potentially dangerous heavy metals are falling on a Co. Mayo farm. But the Environmental Protection Agency doesn't have the resources to find the cause.

most worried about."

The EPA considered several sources that might be causing the contamination, including the Aughinish Alumina factory at Askeaton, Co. Limerick. Samples were taken by them from the lagoons of waste water outside the Limerick plant and compared with those from Jimmy Kelly's farm. But there was nothing in the Aughinish samples to specifically link them with the samples from the Kelly farm. "We'd need to find something specific in both samples to link them together," says Dr. Flanagan. "But it seems a long distance for it to travel."

Dr. Flanagan admits that he doesn't know where these heavy metals are coming from and he doesn't have the resources to find out. He says it could be dust from a local quarry, or dust from a mine or even sand coming from the Sahara. "We just don't know," he says. "I'd love to know what it is but it would cost millions to find out exactly. We just don't have those kinds of resources".

None of Jimmy's four

suckler cows produced a calf this year. And last year's results weren't much better. "We were on one calf a year for the three previous years but this year none of them went into calf. Jimmy worries that it might be no coincidence that these fertility problems began at the same time that he first noticed the red water outside his tunnel.

There were no samples taken from Jimmy's grazing land. But if his fields are receiving the same levels of heavy metals as are appearing in his garden and around his house then he is right to conclude that it would have an effect his livestock.

"If these levels of metals were being scattered over all his land, it would have serious implications for his livestock," says Dr. Flanagan. "If I was an organic farmer with these results I'd be very worried."

Jimmy Kelly is very worried indeed. He'd like to know where the heavy metals that are raining down on his farm are coming from. And he'd like it stopped.

Four years ago Jimmy Kelly noticed that the water outside his organic vegetable tunnel had turned red. The rainwater which collects on the several sheets of Perspex that lie outside the tunnel appeared to have developed what looked like a red algae bloom.

It wasn't just the rainwater outside his tunnel that was affected. Other containers around his house filled with this mysterious substance. He cleared the foul gunge away only to find it return a few months later, as it has been doing ever since.

"It seems to come in the rain that follows a period of dry weather," says Jimmy. "It looks to me like some form of algae but I've no idea what it is."

Last year he asked an inspector from the regional office of the Environmental Protection Agency in Castlebar to take a look. The inspector took away samples.

He returned a few weeks later for more. "The inspector didn't tell me what the results were," says Jimmy. "But he told me that when they analysed the samples it set

alarm bells ringing in Dublin."

Since then Jimmy has learnt that the red gunge doesn't only fall on his farm. "A close neighbour has it and it's on a farm about 15 miles away," he says. "And I've talked to people who have seen it in rain barrels in Donegal and Tyrone."

"It's definitely not algae," says Dr. Michael Flanagan of the Castlebar EPA Inspectorate. "The samples show there are high levels of metals in the water. The level of aluminium is very high at 8.9%; normally it should be a fraction of that. The amount of lead is even more alarming. It should only be there in trace quantities but in the sample it was 3.4%. It's the lead I'd be



## 5 Grounds

The EPA Inspector states that *“if these levels of metals were been scattered over all his land, it would have serious implications for his livestock”* says Dr Flanagan *“If I was an organic farmer with these results I’d be very worried”*.

On a personal basis, my wife and I had to stop producing milk our main income from our farm many years back because we have these levels of heavy metals on our land, leaving us with no safe environment to produce our milk within.

Again, will we have further negligence here on behalf of An Bord Pleanála, that they will grant this huge amount of red mud to be piled higher which in turn will ensure a risk of contamination of the farming sector that produces food for the consumer, that has already been contaminated by the dangerous red mud, in many parts of Ireland. The farmer in Mayo, said he knew his neighbours had it and it was in Donegal and Tyrone. Our group are aware of it been in four other Counties outside Limerick,

This Mayo farmer had nearly 100% infertility in his animals from the red dust from Aughinish like farmers had in Askeaton back in the nineties. Our group are aware from farmers now, that infertility is increasing in herds, respiratory problems and other illness are on the rise and we firmly believe that this is a combination of this red dust blowing from BRDA and high emissions from the Aughinish stack.

### **History of where the Aughinish ponds are located**

Those local historians would tell you, that where the ponds are located was once Tidal, where the tide would come in twice daily. This land was reclaimed, dykes and drains were put in and then farmed. There were walls built around the land which the mud ponds are inside now.

Article below that appeared in the Leader showing that these outer walls are been eroded and sections coming away which leaves in water and its local knowledge that Aughinish are not paying much attention to their upkeep and repair. Once these walls are breached it’s the first /last line of defence to the 50 million plus of red toxic waste.

### **An article in Limerick leader on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2019 heading “ Calls to investigate Aughinish sea-wall amid fears of potential pollution of estuary with toxic red mud”**

*THE Cappagh Farmers Support Group has called on Limerick City and County Council to investigate a possible breach of planning permission by RusAl Aughinish Alumina.*

*The call comes following the revelations in last week’s Limerick Leader that repairs are currently being carried out on the sea defence wall at the Aughinish plant. The repairs, a spokeswoman for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) confirmed, began in July and are to continue until September.*

*The EPA had been notified about the repairs in advance, she said before adding: “The sea wall does not form part of the containment infrastructure for the bauxite residue*



## 5 Grounds

*(commonly known as red mud). The Agency is not aware of any breaches of the infrastructure which contains the bauxite residue.”*

*However, a request to RusAl Aughinish from the Limerick Leader to allow this reporter and a photographer on site to see the repair work being carried out has been met with silence.*

*Pat Geoghegan of the Cappagh Farmers Support Group has said that the admission by Aughinish that repairs to the sea defence wall were needed was “serious”. This wall, he said, was the “last line of defence” in preventing red mud from polluting the river.*

*“Independent experts must be brought in to examine the sea wall to ensure it is safe, because of what is behind it: 50 million tonnes of toxic waste,” he said.*

*Approximately 170 acres of the 250 acre mud pond are not lined, Mr Geoghegan pointed out, which they fear could be leading to leakage of red mud. The sea-wall is the last line of defence against this, he argued, and a compromised wall would be a very serious matter.*

*His contention is that this could be a breach of Aughinish’s planning permission and he and the Cappagh Farmers Support Group have called on the council to investigate their complaint and add it to the litany of other alleged planning breaches which they have recorded over the years.*

*They submitted a list of complaints to the council in July last year, Mr Geoghegan told the Limerick Leader and resent it in December. But they have had no reply.*

*They had also complained to the Minister for Housing, Planning and the Environment, Eoghan Murphy, who directed them to the council.*

*Mr Geoghegan believes the revelations about the sea-wall are all the more serious, given that the company has been given permission by the council to carry out rock-blasting.*

*Last year, he and the Cappagh Farmers Support Group, objected to the granting of permission but it was upheld by An Bord Pleanála.*

*If the sea wall is in any way compromised, Mr Geoghegan argued, it could have very serious consequences if rock-blasting were to take place nearby.*


*“It would be under serious pressure if blasting takes place,” he said.*

*The spokeswoman for the EPA said that rock blasting is “not currently accommodated for” under Aughinish’s EPA Licence P0035-06. “The Agency is not aware of any rock blasting which is currently taking place within the licensed boundary of the site. Aughinish Alumina Limited has applied to the EPA for a licence review to accommodate proposed rock blasting activities,” she said.*

## 5 Grounds

### Sections of the Shannon to be covered by water in the next 10 years

As we have recently seen maps marked in red the proximity to the Atlantic Ocean, of Clare and Limerick and how they will be severely affected by climate change, with many areas on Shannon predicted to be under water in the next 10 years as Map below shows



The Area around Borrigone, at the back of the Mud Ponds, area near Aughinish and Foynes are all ear marked in red in the affected climate change map and expected to be impacted by major flooding within 10 years. And what have we now got, Are An Bord Pleanala going to allow millions of tonnes on top of 50 million plus already there, this major flooding on the way, with the outer walls eroding and sections coming away and grant this application, how reckless can one be, both on Aughinish part but on Bord Pleanala part, if they grant this application to increase quantity and height of red mud and Salt cake

Also, the excuse been used by all including Aughinish, and those famous headlines we read, job losses, plant may close if Aughinish Alumina can't get this application through they will somehow run out of space for Red Mud and they may face closure. That is not fully correct from all sides especially Aughinish.

In 2006 & 2007, section taken below from Dr Jonathan Derham EPA inspector, Re Application for an IPPC Licence from Aughinish Alumina P0035-04 document page 19/20

**Is there an alternative technology to landfilling?**

## 5 Grounds

*“It is this area that is worthy of some discussion in relation to the AAL residues. One option for the bauxite processing residue would be to send it back to the mineral source area. This presents difficult logistical challenges given the corrosive nature of the waste. And there is no precedent. This point is argued by the applicants in additional information submitted in support of their IPPC review application. Sea disposal of bauxite residues is practiced elsewhere in the world. This has a double advantage of neutralising the muds and no loss of land~ **The applicant has in my view summarily dismissed this possibility without any real feasibility assessment. The disposal of such materials via long offshore pipeline or ship”***

From 2006 to 2022 which is 16 years, Aughinish had never had to address an alternative to stockpiling red mud in the BRDA that would have diverted an environmental disaster of millions of tonnes of toxic waste seeping into the Shannon and breaching of the embankment walls. Why, because Limerick County Council, An Bord Pleanala, EPA will never refuse them anything to date what they wanted they got.

Dr Derham saw this day coming, but Aughinish in knowing that they own the system, they felt why would not cause additional costs to themselves to protect our environment, human health, when at the end of the day the Irish taxpayer will pick up the cost of millions to clean up this environmental mess that An Board Pleanala and others have deliberately allowed this to happen.

### **Increase in Burrow pit size of 3.9ha**

Our group are back already to An Bord Pleanala on this reckless request to blast rock so close to the embankments storing 50 million tonnes plus of toxic waste. The last time, we were here was our **Appeal of Planning Application 17714, Aughinish Alumina**. Again, we don't expect An Bord Pleanala to refuse this application as An Bord Pleanala has set the precedent in granting the 4.5 hectare burrow pit already, with this been exactly the same on a 3.9 hectare.

We hope you don't mind, but we will enclose a copy of our appeal 17714 and for its contents to be treated in this observation.

**You totally ignored all our observations/objections in our appeal 17714 to grant Aughinish 4.5 hectare so we presume will be the same this time around.**

Because of An Bord Pleanala looking after Aughinish at every opportunity, we believe this is the one that An Bord Pleanala may perish on. It's one thing to know that you can do what you like in decisions you make for Aughinish without any repercussions, but it's another thing to know that granting your decision to set of explosives so close to BRDA 1 & 2 and the Plant itself, and the consequences that may follow over a 20-year period is much more serious.

While An Bord Pleanala would have an escape plan here, that if Aughinish didn't get approval for this rock blasting, that it would somehow effect this company to survive, damage it in some way etc, none of these will be a runner as a get out card for An Bord Pleanala if an environmental disaster happens at the plant, to its gas pipe line feeding the plant, or the embankment walls are breached and the red mud flows into the Shannon devastating it for decades.

Why, because Aughinish can seek to get their crushed rock from the quarry across the road, like they have been doing for years for the same work to increase the height of the embankments. In fact, we have costings done on this work from those in the know and it

## 5 Grounds

turns out that Aughinish, can have the rock crushed and bought in from this quarry in one go and it works out cheaper per ton. Now Aughinish must -

- 1- Employ explosive contractors at huge costs
- 2- Machinery to crush rock on site
- 3- Machinery to transport this crush rock to the embankments.
- 4- Disturbance risk to plant as the blasting has the potential to trip the power and stop production.
- 5- Damage the water tables
- 6- Possible danger to the gas pipeline just 50 metres away.
- 7- Cost of damage to local houses in the vicinity.

That leads us on to the next question, it's what they want to do with the 9 hectares of a hole when the rock is taken out.

Our group would like to put An Bord Pleanala on notice, that you will be held accountable for facilitating Aughinish here with this rock blasting section in their application has the potential for causing harm and it is totally ignoring the precautionary principal as there is not extensive scientific knowledge to support this blasting. Aughinish have not put forward scientific evidence to support that this rock blasting is 100% safe and we have already pointed this out in our previous objection.

Our group has put forward a much stronger scientific paper to show that this blasting is unsafe, where it is located which An Bord Pleanala ignored.

The Cappagh Farmers having put forward evidence in our last planning objection / observation to the 4.5 burrow pit shows in page 1 Ref 1 re- International Journal of Chemical, Environmental & Biological Science (JCEBS) Volume 3 issue 1 - The Environmental Impacts of Rock Blasting and their Mitigation Section 11 Re -Generation of Ground Vibrations, Noise and Fly rock attached. It states, *"The energy carried by these waves crushes rock, which is the immediate vicinity of the hole, to a fine powder"*.

*The region in which this takes place is called shock zone. The radius of this zone is nearly two times the radius hole. Beyond the shock zone, the energy of the waves gets attenuated to some degree which causes the radical cracking of the rock mass".* See Fig 2 in our objection / observation where it shows the type of fractures from shock, severe that takes place from blasting.

Also see figure 2 rock breakage process - An effect of ground vibrations on the structures. *"The ground vibrations cause the ground to vibrate in transverse, longitudinal and the vertical direction leading to its damage"*





The above picture clearly shows the arrow pointing to where this rock blasting will take place for the first 4.5 hectare that Aughinish has received planning for with the help of An Bord Pleanála for 10 years, 5-7 times every year,

Do An Bord Pleanála really think or be so naïve to think, that to add another 3.9 hectare for Rock blasting that one or the other areas will not cause an environmental disaster on the Shannon, it certainly will be interesting to see who signs off on this one.

Our group have provided evidence that this rock blasting is not essential in any way for it to go ahead for Aughinish Alumina, when there is already in place that has been used by this company for years in sourcing its crushed rock.

Our group are doing everything possible here to divert one of the biggest environmental disasters ever to take place in Ireland if not Europe.

We see from Limerick County Council observation to An Bord Pleanála that they may have some trouble now to this application even though they have granted similar planning permission already for the 4.5-hectare borrow pit. There is now certain reservation to section of this rock blasting by LCCC that raises concerns to this blasting. This raises huge concerns now that this first rock blasting for 4.5 should not have got planning.

To be reckless once in allowing this blasting to go ahead for 10 years by LCCC and An Bord Pleanála but twice to allow it for 20 years in total thinking that it will not cause an environmental disaster will border on nothing more than reckless behaviour and negligence now on behalf of An Bord Pleanála.



## 5 Grounds

.

**An Bord Pleanála will have nowhere to hide if an environmental disaster happens here whether it's in 2022 or within years to come. Everything set out in our observation points to an environmental disaster happening the question is when and if it will An Bord Pleanála that allow it now to happen with this application.**

## Supporting materials

6. If you wish, you can include supporting materials with your observation.

Supporting materials include:

- photographs,
- plans,
- surveys,
- drawings,
- digital videos or DVDs,
- technical guidance, or
- other supporting materials.

You can insert photographs and similar items in your observation details: grounds (part 5 of this form).

If your supporting materials are physical objects, you must send them together with your observation by post or deliver it in person to our office. You cannot use the online uploader facility.

## Fee

7. You **must** make sure that the correct fee is included with your observation.

### **Observers (except prescribed bodies)**

- strategic infrastructure observation is €50.
- there is no fee for an oral hearing request

## Oral hearing request

8. If you wish to [request the Board to hold an oral hearing](#), please tick the “Yes, I wish to request an oral hearing” box below.

You can find information on how to make this request on [our website](#) or by contacting us.

If you do not wish to request an oral hearing, please tick the “No, I do not wish to request an oral hearing” box.

**Yes, I wish to request an oral hearing**

☐

**No, I do not wish to request an oral hearing**

☐

## Final steps before you send us your observations

9. If you are sending us your observation using **the online uploader facility**, remember to save this document as a Microsoft word or PDF and title it with:

- the case number and your name, or
- the name and location of the development and your name.

This also applies to prescribed bodies sending an observation by email.

If you are sending your observation to us by post or delivering in person, remember to print off all the pages of this document and send it to us.

---

**For Office Use Only**

<b>FEM – Received</b>		<b>SIDS – Processed</b>	
Initials		Initials	
Date		Date	

**Notes**